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#### Abstract

The mathematical model of single degree of freedom（DOF）nonlinear autonomous bearing sys－ tem under constant flow supporting model is deduced．The single DOF nonlinear autonomous bearing system is transformed with the method of linear and nonlinear treatment，the mathematical expression and parameters sensitivity of relative error of stiffness and damping are presented．Finally，the main factors of magnetic－liquid double suspension bearing（MLDSB）are analyzed，and the influence on bearing performance indicators of single DOF nonlinear autonomous bearing system of main factors is revealed．The results show that linear stiffness／damping is the first part of equivalent stiffness／damp－ ing，and the second and third parts are high order minor term of Tayor series transform．The film thickness，the magnetic－liquid proportionality coefficient，the mass of rotor are the major influence factor of the bearing performance．The research can provide the theoretical reference for the design and nonlinear analysis of MLDSB．
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## 0 Introduction

Magnetic－liquid double suspension bearing（ MLDSB） is mainly supported by electromagnetic suspension sys－ tem and supplemented by hydrostatic bearing system， and then the phenomenons of poor load capacity，over－ load，seizing，bush－burning should be effectively avoi－ $\operatorname{ded}{ }^{[1-5]}$ ．

In recent years，many scholars have researched deeply on the nonlinear feature of hydrostatic bearing and electromagnetic suspension bearing，and have achieved fruitful research results．In Ref．［6］，the nonlinear vibration of a single degree of freedom （DOF）rotor supported by active magnetic bearing （AMB）system was investigated．The typical nonlinear phenomena such as softening／hardening spring charac－ teristic，jumps of the resonance curve can be observed and analyzed．In Ref．［7］，the nonlinear dynamic be－
havior and stability of AMB－rotor system were studied by the Floquet theory．At the same time，the method consisting of a predictor－corrector mechanism and Net－ won－Raphson method was presented to calculate critical speed corresponding to Hopf bifurcation point of the system．Ref．［8］showed that there were plenty of non－ linear phenomena such as periodic motion，almost peri－ odic motion and chaotic motion in the process of system operation．Ref．［9］solved the nonlinear oil film force of the fixed－tilting tile sliding bearing by using the vari－ ational principle．And the influence of bearing fulcrum position and preload on the stability of the rotor was ob－ tained by using point mapping and Runge－Kutta meth－ od analysis．Ref．［10］established the nonlinear axis of the trajectory mathematical model of four－oil cavity hydrostatic bearing．The influence of rotor speed，oil supply pressure and radius clearance of the bearing on the nonlinear axis locus is studied，and the change law of axis locus under dynamic load is calculated．

[^0]The electromagnetic suspension system and hydrostatic bearing system are inter-coupled, and the nonlinear degree of MLDSB is sharply increased. It is necessary to find a simple method which can be mastered by engineering designers and reflect the nonlinear features of MLDSB ${ }^{[11-12]}$.

The equivalent linearization treatment is used to dispose single DOF bearing system of MLDSB. The mathematical expressions and sensitivity of parameters of equivalent stiffness/damping and the relative errors of stiffness/damping are obtained. Primary and secondary orders of bearing indicators of single DOF autonomous system are extracted, and the influencing rules of the major influence factors on the performance of MLDSB are revealed. Therefore, the research in the article can provide theoretical reference for the design and performance analysis of MLDSB.

## 1 Mathematical model

Eight magnetic poles are symmetrically distributed in the circumferential direction of MLDSB. And the adjacent opposite poles are a pair of magnetic poles. Initially, the electromagnetic force and hydrostatic force by each pair of magnetic poles are equal. A pair of magnetic poles are analyzed as a support unit. Single DOF bearing system in the vertical direction of MLDSB is taken as the research object. As shown in Fig. 1,
the bearing system is composed of rotor, upper and lower supporting units.


Fig. 1 Force diagram of single DOF of MLDSB

In order to research the bearing performance of MLDSB, the assumptions are as follows ${ }^{[13-14]}$.
(1) The flow state of the lubricant is laminar flow, and the liquid inertial force is ignored.
(2) The viscosity of the liquid is ignored.
(3) Leakage magnetic flux is ignored.
(4) The magnetic resistance in the core and rotor is ignored, and the magnetic potential is only applied to the air gap.
(5) The influences of hysteresis and eddy current of magnetic materials are ignored.
(6) Gravity of bearing and rotor are ignored.

The initial design parameters of MLDSB are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Design parameters of MLDSB

| Mass of bearing <br> $m / \mathrm{kg}$ | Elastic modulus <br> $E / \mathrm{MPa}$ | Zinc coating <br> thickness $l / \mathrm{mm}$ | Magnetic pole <br> area $A / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88.62 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.30 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.50 | 1000 |
| Solenoid number <br> $N /$ Dimensionless | Cavity wedth <br> $A / \mathrm{m}$ | Cavity length <br> $B / \mathrm{m}$ | Axial sealing <br> band width $b / \mathrm{m}$ |
| 633 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.004 |
| Circumferential sealing <br> band width $a / \mathrm{m}$ | Pumping <br> pressure $p_{s} / \mathrm{MPa}$ | Cavity pressure <br> $p / \mathrm{MPa}$ | Bias current <br> $i_{0} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 0.006 | 3.00 | 0.64 | 1.20 |
| Film thickness |  |  |  |
| $h_{0} / \mu \mathrm{m}$ | Sensitive oil-way <br> volume $V_{\text {oa }} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Air permeability <br> $\mu_{0} /(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m})$ | Magnetic-liquid <br> proportionality <br> coefficient |
| 30 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4 \pi \times 10^{-6}$ | Dimensionless |

### 1.1 Initial state of single DOF bearing system

In the initial state, the rotor is located in the rotation center of the bearing. And film thickness of upper and lower bearing cavities is equal. The bias voltage of proportional valve and the bias current of electromagnet
are equal respectively.
Flow of proportional valve The initial bias voltage of the proportional valve is set to $u_{0}$, and the output flow equation is as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{f, 1,0}=q_{f, 2,0}=k_{1} u_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $q_{f, 0}$ is output flow of proportional valve, L/ $\min$; $k_{1}$ is flow-voltage coefficient, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{V}$; $u_{0}$ is bias voltage of proportional valve, V.

Liquid resistance of bearing cavity Upper and lower bearing cavities of MLDSB can be simplified as rectangular structures. The liquid resistance equations are obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1,0}=R_{2,0}=\frac{\mu}{\bar{B} h_{0}^{3}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $R_{0}$ is liquid resistance of bearing cavity, $\mathrm{Pa} \cdot \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; $\mu$ is dynamic viscosity of lubricant, $\mathrm{Pa} \cdot \mathrm{s} ; \bar{B}$ is bearing flow coefficient of bearing cavity, dimensionless; $h_{0}$ is film thickness of bearing cavity, m.

Hydrostatic bearing force According to Navi-er-Stokes equation ${ }^{[15]}$, the hydrostatic bearing forces of upper and lower bearing cavities are obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
f_{l,, 1,0} & =2 q_{1,0} R_{1,0} A_{e} \cos \theta  \tag{3}\\
f_{l,, 2,0} & =2 q_{2,0} R_{2,0} A_{e} \cos \theta
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $f_{l, 0}$ is hydrostatic bearing force of bearing cavity, $\mathrm{N} ; q_{, 0}$ is input flow, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} ; A_{e}$ is effective bearing area, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$; $\theta$ is angle between bearing cavity and center line of axis, ${ }^{\circ}$.

Electromagnetic bearing force According to Maxwell force equation ${ }^{[16]}$, the electromagnetic suspension forces of upper and lower magnetic poles are obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e, 1,0}=f_{e, 2,0}=2 k \cos \theta \frac{i_{0}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{e, 0}$ is electromagnetic suspension force of magnetic pole, $\mathrm{N} ; k$ is electromagnetic constant, $\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{m}, k$ $=\mu_{0} N^{2} A_{1} / 4 ; i_{0}$ is bias current of solenoid, $\mathrm{A} ; \mu_{0}$ is air permeability, $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{m}, \mu_{0}=4 \pi \times 10^{-7} ; N$ is coil turns, dimensionless; $A_{1}$ is iron core area, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Flow balance equation Initially, the input flow of the bearing cavity is consistent with the output flow of the proportional valve.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{1,0}=q_{f, 1,0}  \tag{5}\\
q_{2,0}=q_{f, 2,0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{1,0}$ is initial inflow of upper bearinng cavity, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$; $q_{2,0}$ is initial inflow of underside bearing cavity, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$.

Proportional equation of the suspension force
The proportional relationship between electromagnetic suspension force and hydrostatic bearing force is set to realize coupling support between two bearing systems. The proportional coefficient is assumed to be $K$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{e, 0}}{f_{l, 0}}=\left(\frac{k i_{0}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}}\right) /\left(\frac{\mu q_{0} A_{e}}{\bar{B} h_{0}^{3}}\right)=K \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is magnetic-liquid coefficient, dimensionless.

Mechanics balance equation According to Newton's second law, and the mechanics balance equation of the rotor is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e, 1,0}+f_{l, 2,0}-f_{e, 2,0}-f_{l,, 1,0}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 Working state of single DOF support system

Under the external load, displacement of rotor is $x$, and upper and lower film thickness are $h_{1}, h_{2}$ respectively.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{1}=h_{0}+x \cos \theta  \tag{8}\\
h_{2}=h_{0}-x \cos \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

Flow of proportional valve The displacement of the rotor is $x$, and the direction is downward. The control voltage of coil of proportional valve is $u$. The flows of the valve are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{f, 1}=k_{1}\left(u_{0}-u\right)  \tag{9}\\
q_{f, 2}=k_{1}\left(u_{0}+u\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{f}$ is output flow of proportional valve, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$; $u$ is control voltage, V .

Liquid resistance of bearing cavity When the film thicknesses of upper and lower bearing cavities are $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, the liquid resistances of the bearing cavities are as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{1}=\frac{\mu}{\bar{B} h_{1}^{3}}  \tag{10}\\
R_{2}=\frac{\mu}{\bar{B} h_{2}^{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hydrostatic bearing force According to Navi-er-Stokes equation, the hydrostatic bearing forces of upper and lower bearing cavities are obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{l, 1}=2 p_{1} A_{e} \cos \theta=2 q_{1} R_{1} A_{e} \cos \theta  \tag{11}\\
f_{l, 2}=2 p_{2} A_{e} \cos \theta=2 q_{2} R_{2} A_{e} \cos \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{l}$ is hydrostatic bearing force of bearing cavity, $\mathrm{N} ; p$ is static pressure, $\mathrm{Pa} ; q$ is input flow, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$.

Electromagnetic suspension force According to Maxwell equation, the electromagnetic suspension forces of upper and lower magnetic poles are obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{e, 1}=2 k \cos \theta \frac{\left(i_{0}+i_{c}\right)^{2}}{\left(h_{0}+x \cos \theta\right)^{2}}  \tag{12}\\
f_{e, 2}=2 k \cos \theta \frac{\left(i_{0}-i_{c}\right)^{2}}{\left(h_{0}-x \cos \theta\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{e}$ is electromagnetic suspension force, $\mathrm{N} ; i_{c}$ is control current of solenoid, A.

Flow balance equation The influence of sensitive liquid path on bearing is ignored, and the flow balance equation between the bearing cavity and the proportional valve is obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{1}=q_{f, 1}-A_{b} \dot{h}_{1}  \tag{13}\\
q_{2}=q_{f, 2}-A_{b} \dot{h}_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{b}$ is the equivalent extrusion area of bearing cavity, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

Mechanics balance equation of rotor According to Newton's second law, the mechanics banlance equation of the rotor is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e, 1}+f_{l, 2}-f_{e, 2}-f_{l, 1}=-m \ddot{x} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is rotor quality, kg .

## 1. 3 Nonlinear autonomous equation of single DOF bearing system

Eq. (1) - Eq. (14) are comprehensively solved. Control voltage $u$ of proportional valve and control current of solenoid $i_{c}$ are assumed to be 0 . The main parameters are shown in the Appendix 1. The dynamical equation of single DOF bearing system of MLDSB is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}+f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Linearization of dynamic equation

Taylor series expansion of the dynamic equation of single DOF bearing is carried out with the initial state as the reference. The dynamic equation of linearization is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}+c_{l} \dot{x}+k_{l} x=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Equivalent linearization and parametric sensitivity analysis

## 2. 1 Equivalent linearization of dynamic equations

According to Eq. (15) , damping force and elastic force of single DOF autonomous bearing system of MLDSB have strong nonlinear features. Firstly, an equivalent linearization dynamical equation corresponding to nonlinear autonomous equation of single DOF bearing system is established as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e} \ddot{x}+c_{e} \dot{x}+k_{e} x=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{e}$ is equivalent quality, $\mathrm{kg} ; c_{e}$ is equivalent damping, $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} ; k_{e}$ is equivalent stiffness, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$.

Assume that the linear dynamical equation shown in Eq. (17) has stable periodic solution as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a_{t} \cos \psi=a_{t} \cos \left(\omega_{e} t+\theta_{1}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{t}$ is equivalent amplitude, m ; $\omega_{e}$ is equivalent natural frequency, $\mathrm{Hz} ; \theta_{1}$ is equivalent vibration phase, rad; $\psi$ is calculating parameter, dimensionless.

Eq. (18) is derived for time $t$, and the first and second derivatives are obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=-a_{t} \omega_{e} \sin \psi  \tag{19}\\
\ddot{x}=-a_{t} \omega_{e}^{2} \cos \psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Due to the low viscosity of seawater, the equivalent damping of single DOF autonomous bearing system
of MLDSB is small. Therefore, amplitude $a_{t}$, equivalent damping ratio $\delta_{e}$ and equivalent natural frequency $\omega_{e}$ in Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) can be expressed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{t}=a_{0} e^{-\delta_{e} t}  \tag{20}\\
\delta_{e}=\frac{c_{e}}{2 m_{e}} \\
\omega_{e}=\sqrt{\omega^{2}-\delta_{e}^{2}} \\
\omega=\sqrt{\frac{k_{e}}{m_{e}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For convenience, the nonlinear bearing force $f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)$ in Eq. (15) is expressed in the form of Fourier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos n \psi+b_{n} \sin n \psi\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first harmonic force load of the nonlinear vibration of single DOF bearing system of MLDSB is much larger than the second and the higher harmonic force load. Therefore, the second and higher harmonics can be ignored. The nonlinear element $f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)$ can be approximately expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)=a_{0}+a_{1} \cos \psi+b_{1} \sin \psi  \tag{22}\\
& \text { Substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (17). } \\
& m \ddot{x}+a_{1} \cos \psi+b_{1} \sin \psi=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

When the approximation of Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) is considered and constant force $a_{0}$ is removed, Eq. (23) is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}-\frac{b_{1}}{\omega_{e} a_{t}} \dot{x}+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{t}} x=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalent mass $m_{e}$, equivalent damping $c_{e}$ and equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ of single DOF autonomous bearing system are obtained by the equivalent linearization for Eq. (24).

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{e}=m  \tag{25}\\
c_{e}=-\frac{1}{\pi \omega_{e} a_{t}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{k}\left(a_{t}, \psi\right) \sin \psi \mathrm{d} \psi \\
k_{e}=\frac{1}{\pi a_{t}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{k}\left(a_{t}, \psi\right) \cos \psi \mathrm{d} \psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the variable $x$ of function $f_{k}\left(a_{t}, \psi\right)$ is contained in the denominator and difficult to be solved, Taylor series expansion is performed on Eq. (16), and high order terms are reserved. Substituting it into initial condition $\left(\dot{x}_{0} \neq 0, x_{0}=0\right)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)=\Phi_{1}(x) c_{l} \dot{x}+\Phi_{2}(x) k_{l} x \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (18) , Eq. (19) and Eq. (26) into Eq. (25) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{e}=m  \tag{27}\\
c_{e}=\Phi_{3} c_{l} \\
k_{e}=\Phi_{4} k_{l}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since there is an unknown quantity $a_{t}$ in Eq. (27), equivalent damping $c_{e}$ and equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ are substituded into Eq. (20) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}=a_{0} e^{-\frac{c_{e}}{2 m_{e}} t} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalent damping $c_{e}$ will be replaced with linear damping $c_{l}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}=a_{0} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m^{t}}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (29) into Eq. (27), and the expressions of equivalent mass $m_{e}$, equivalent damping $c_{e}$, and equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ can be obtained as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{e}=m  \tag{30}\\
c_{e}=c_{l}\left(1+\frac{\Phi_{1,1} a_{0}^{2}}{4 e^{\frac{c_{m}}{m}}}+\frac{\Phi_{1,2} a_{0}^{4}}{8 e^{\frac{2 c l l}{m}}}+\frac{5 \Phi_{1,3} a_{0}^{6}}{64 e^{\frac{3 c l l}{m}}}\right) \\
k_{e}=k_{l}\left(1+\frac{3 \Phi_{2,1}^{2} a_{0}^{2}}{4 e^{\frac{c_{l}}{l^{l}}}}+\frac{5 \Phi_{2,2} a_{0}^{4}}{8 e^{\frac{2 c l}{m}}}+\frac{35 \Phi_{2,3} a_{0}^{6}}{64 e^{\frac{3 c l l}{m}}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By comparing Eq. (16) with Eq. (30), equivalent mass $m_{e}$ is rotor mass $m$. And equivalent damping $c_{e} /$ equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ consist of 4 parts respectively. First part is linear damping $c_{l} /$ linear stiffness $k_{l}$, and the last three parts are high order minor terms which occurs during the process of Taylor series transform.

Substituting the parameters in Table 1 into Eq. (30), and the curves of linear damping, equivalent damping, linear stiffness and equivalent stiffness with time $t$ are shown in Fig. 2.

According to Fig. 2, linear damping $c_{l} /$ linear stiffness $k_{l}$ does not include time $t$ and maintains constant. Equivalent damping $c_{e} /$ equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ of the first part is linear damping $c_{l} /$ linear stiffness $k_{l}$. And the high order minor terms in the last three parts are positive eternally.


Fig. 2 The curve of damping and stiffness with time

## 2. 2 Sensitivity analysis of relative error of stiffness and damping

Definition of relative error According to Eq. (16) and Eq. (30), linear damping $c_{l}$ and linear stiffness $k_{l}$ are only related to the design parameters. Equivalent
damping $c_{e}$ and equivalent stiffness $k_{e}$ are not only influenced by design parameters, but also increases proportionally with time $t$.

Relative error $\delta_{k}$ is defined to indicate the difference between equivalent damping/stiffness and linear damping/stiffness as follows.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\delta_{c}=\frac{c_{e}-c_{l}}{c_{l}}=\frac{1}{4} \Phi_{1,1} a_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \Phi_{1,2} a_{t}^{4}+\frac{5}{64} \Phi_{1,3} a_{t}^{6} \\ \delta_{k}=\frac{k_{e}-k_{l}}{k_{l}}=\frac{3}{4} \Phi_{2,1} a_{t}^{2}+\frac{5}{8} \Phi_{2,2} a_{t}^{4}+\frac{35}{64} \Phi_{2,3} a_{t}^{6}\end{array}\right.$
Parameter sensitivity expression The relative error of stiffness $\delta_{k}$ is expressed in the following form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, t)=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of function $g$ in Eq. (32) is shown
as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{g}=\left(g_{c}, g_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{33}\\
\boldsymbol{g}_{c}=\delta_{c}-\frac{1}{4} \Phi_{1,1} a_{t}^{2}-\frac{1}{8} \Phi_{1,2} a_{t}^{4}-\frac{5}{64} \Phi_{1,3} a_{t}^{6} \\
\boldsymbol{g}_{k}=\delta_{k}-\frac{3}{4} \Phi_{2,1} a_{t}^{2}-\frac{5}{8} \Phi_{2,2} a_{t}^{4}-\frac{35}{64} \Phi_{2,3} a_{t}^{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

When the initial value of parameter vector $\alpha_{0}$ is constant, the initial value of state variable $\delta_{k, 0}$ can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\delta_{k, 0}, \alpha_{0}, t\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (34), the value $\Delta \delta_{k}$ can occur during the adjusting process of parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\delta_{k, 0}+\Delta \delta_{k}, \alpha_{0}+\Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}, t\right)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (35) can be expanded by binary Talyor series expansion as

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\delta_{0}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}, \alpha_{0}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, t\right) \\
& \quad=g\left(\delta_{0}, \alpha_{0}, t\right)+\boldsymbol{g}_{\delta} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}+\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (32) - Eq. (35) into Eq. (36).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \delta=-g_{\delta}^{-1} g_{\alpha} \Delta \alpha \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (38), $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ and $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ represent second order Jacobian matrix $(\partial g / \partial \delta)$ and $2 \times 6$ order Jacobian matrix $(\partial g / \partial \alpha)$ respectively.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{38}\\
\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
g_{\alpha, 1,1} & g_{\alpha, 1,2} & g_{\alpha, 1,3} & g_{\alpha, 1,4} & g_{\alpha, 1,5} & g_{\alpha, 1,6} \\
g_{\alpha, 2,1} & g_{\alpha, 2,2} & g_{\alpha, 2,3} & g_{\alpha, 2,4} & g_{\alpha, 2,5} & g_{\alpha, 2,6}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eq. (37) can also be expressed in the following form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \delta=-S_{\alpha} \Delta \alpha \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is $2 \times 6$ order sensitivity matrix. Since $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is identity matrix $\boldsymbol{I}$, the expression of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is consistent with $\boldsymbol{g}_{\alpha}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} & =\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
S_{\alpha, 1,1} & S_{\alpha, 1,2} & S_{\alpha, 1,3} & S_{\alpha, 1,4} & S_{\alpha, 1,5} & S_{\alpha, 1,6} \\
S_{\alpha, 2,1} & S_{\alpha, 2,2} & S_{\alpha, 2,3} & S_{\alpha, 2,4} & S_{\alpha, 2,5} & S_{\alpha, 2,6}
\end{array}\right] \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Changing rule of relative error with time The relative errors of damping and stiffness are not only related to design parameters, but also demonstrate a trend of increasing first and decreasing with the time, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.


Fig. 3 Sensitivity of relative error of damping with time


Fig. 4 Sensitivity of relative error of stiffness with time

## 3 Sensitivity analysis of bearing performances indicator

The influence of the relative error on the damping and stiffness of single DOF bearing system is mainly reflected in the change of the bearing performances of the autonomous bearing system of MLDSB.

Sensitivity of relative error of bearing indicator The bearing indicators of linear and equivalent linearization are denoted by subscript $l$ and $e$. And the relative error $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ of two bearing indicators is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}=\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{e}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{l}}{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{l}} \times 100 \% \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eq. (39) - Eq. (43), the relative error $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ of the bearing indicator is implicit function of the parameters $m, k_{l}, c_{l}, k_{e}, c_{e}$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta t_{s}=t_{s}\left(c_{l}, c_{e}\right) \\
\Delta J=J\left(m, k_{l}, c_{l}, k_{e}, c_{e}\right) \\
\Delta \gamma=\gamma\left(m, k_{l}, c_{l}, k_{e}, c_{e}\right)  \tag{42}\\
\Delta f_{n}=f_{n}\left(k_{l}, k_{e}\right) \\
\Delta K_{a}=K_{a}\left(m, k_{l}, c_{l}, k_{e}, c_{e}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to Eq. (16) and Eq. (31), $c_{e}$ and $k_{e}$ are implicit functions of parameters $c_{l}$, $k_{l}$ and $\Phi_{10}$ respectively. And $c_{l}, k_{l}, \Phi_{10}$ are implicit functions of parameters $K, \mu, a, a_{0}, m, h_{0}$ respectively.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{e}=c_{e}\left(c_{l}, \Phi_{3}, t\right) \\
k_{e}=k_{e}\left(c_{l}, \Phi_{4}, t\right) \\
c_{l}=c_{l}\left(\delta_{1}, h_{0}\right) \\
k_{l}=k_{l}\left(K, \delta_{3}, h_{0}\right)  \tag{43}\\
\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\mu, a) \\
\delta_{3}=\delta_{3}(\mu, a) \\
\Phi_{3}=\Phi_{3}\left(a_{t}, h_{0}\right) \\
\Phi_{4}=\Phi_{4}\left(K, a_{t}, h_{0}\right) \\
a_{t}=a_{t}\left(a_{0}, m, c_{l}, t\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to Eq. (33) - Eq. (38) , the sensitivity between the relative error of the bearing indicator and the design parameters is established as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \xi=\chi_{\alpha} \Delta \alpha \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is $5 \times 6$ order Jacobian matrix as

$$
\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\chi_{\alpha, 1,1} & \chi_{\alpha, 1,2} & \chi_{\alpha, 1,3} & \chi_{\alpha, 1,4} & \chi_{\alpha, 1,5} & \chi_{\alpha, 1,6}  \tag{45}\\
\chi_{\alpha, 2,1} & \chi_{\alpha, 2,2} & \chi_{\alpha, 2,3} & \chi_{\alpha, 2,4} & \chi_{\alpha, 2,5} & \chi_{\alpha, 2,6} \\
\chi_{\alpha, 3,1} & \chi_{\alpha, 3,2} & \chi_{\alpha, 3,3} & \chi_{\alpha, 3,4} & \chi_{\alpha, 3,5} & \chi_{\alpha, 3,6} \\
\chi_{\alpha, 4,1} & \chi_{\alpha, 4,2} & \chi_{\alpha, 4,3} & \chi_{\alpha, 4,4} & \chi_{\alpha, 4,5} & \chi_{\alpha, 4,6} \\
\chi_{\alpha, 5,1} & \chi_{\alpha, 5,2} & \chi_{\alpha, 5,3} & \chi_{\alpha, 5,4} & \chi_{\alpha, 5,5} & \chi_{\alpha, 5,6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Influence of design parameters on relative errors Substituting the parameters in Table 1 into Eq. (45) , the histogram of the relative error of bearing indicator with design parameter is obtained as shown in Fig. 5.


Fig. 5 Sensitivity of relative error of $\Delta t_{s}(t=1 \mathrm{~s})$

According to Fig. 5, the sensitivity of lubricant viscosity $\mu$ and film thickness $h_{0}$ to adjustment time is positive. It means that two parameters will lead to the increase of the adjustment time error. And the sensitivity of width of sealing side $a$, rotor mass $m$, and initial
amplitude $a_{0}$ to adjustment time is negative. It means that 3 parameters will lead to the decrease of adjustment time error. The sensitivity of magnetic-liquid coefficient $K$ to adjustment time is 0 . It means that the error of adjustment time is not affected by the coefficient $K$.

According to Fig. 6, the sensitivity of width of sealing side $a$, initial amplitude $a_{0}$ and rotor mass $m$ to dynamic stiffness is positive. It means that the error of dynamic stiffness will increase with the parameters. The sensitivity of magnetic-liquid coefficient $K$, lubricant viscosity $\mu$ and film thickness $h_{0}$ to dynamic stiffness is negative. It means that the error of dynamic stiffness will decrease with the parameters.


Fig. 6 Sensitivity of relative error $\Delta J(t=1 \mathrm{~s})$

According to Fig. 7, the sensitivity of magneticliquid proportionality coefficient $K$, lubricant viscosity $\mu$ and width of sealing side $a$ to phase margin is positive. It means that the parameters will lead to the increase of phase margin error. The sensitivity of initial amplitude $a_{0}$, rotor mass $m$, and film thickness $h_{0}$ to phase margin is negative. It means that the parameters will lead to the decrease of phase margin error.


Fig. 7 Sensitivity of relative error $\Delta \gamma(t=1 \mathrm{~s})$

## 4 Conclusions

(1) The sensitivity of relative error of damping and stiffness increases first and decreases with time,
and the peak appears near 4 s .
(2) Initial amplitude is the major influence factor of natural frequency. Magnetic-liquid coefficient is the major influence factor of dynamic stiffness and phase margin. And film thickness is the major influence factor of amplitude coefficient.
(3) Film thickness and initial amplitude are the major influence factors of adjusting time, dynamic stiffness, phase margin, natural frequency and amplitude coefficient respectively.
(4) The sensitivity of relative error of adjustment time and natural frequency increases first and decreases with time, and then becomes stable.
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Appendix 1

| Appendix 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Coefficients | Numerical value |
| $f_{k}(\dot{x}, x)$ | $f_{1}(\dot{x}, x)+f_{2}(x)+f_{3}(x)$ |
| $f_{1}(\dot{x}, x)$ | $\left[\frac{\delta_{1}}{\left(h_{0}+x \cos \theta\right)^{3}}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{\left(h_{0}-x \cos \theta\right)^{3}}\right] \dot{x}$ |
| $f_{2}(x)$ | $\frac{\delta_{2}}{\left(h_{0}+x \cos \theta\right)^{2}}-\frac{\delta_{2}}{\left(h_{0}-x \cos \theta\right)^{2}}$ |
| $f_{3}(x)$ | $\frac{\delta_{3}}{\left(h_{0}-x \cos \theta\right)^{3}}-\frac{\delta_{3}}{\left(h_{0}+x \cos \theta\right)^{3}}$ |
| $\delta_{1}$ | $\frac{2 \mu A_{e} A_{b} \cos ^{2} \theta}{\bar{B}}$ |
| $\delta_{2}$ | $2 k i_{0}^{2} \cos \theta$ |
| $\delta_{3}$ | $\frac{2 \mu q_{0} A_{e} \cos \theta}{\bar{B}}$ |
| $c_{l}$ | $2 \frac{\delta_{1}}{h_{0}^{3}}$ |
| $k_{l}$ | $(6-4 K) \frac{\delta_{3}}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos \theta$ |
| $a_{0}$ | $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{k}(a, \psi) \mathrm{d} \psi$ |
| $a_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{k}(a, \psi) \cos \psi \mathrm{d} \psi$ |
| $b_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{k}(a, \psi) \Phi \sin \psi \mathrm{d} \psi$ |
| $\Phi_{1}(x)$ | $1+\Phi_{1,1} x^{2}+\Phi_{1,2} x^{4}+\Phi_{1,3} x^{6}$ |
| $\Phi_{2}(x)$ | $1+\Phi_{2,1} x^{2}+\Phi_{2,2} x^{4}+\Phi_{2,3} x^{6}$ |
| $\Phi_{1,1}$ | $\frac{6}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{1,2}$ | $\frac{15}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos ^{4} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{1,3}$ | $\frac{28}{h_{0}^{6}} \cos ^{6} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{2,1}$ | $\frac{5-2 K}{3-2 K} \frac{2}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{2,2}$ | $\frac{7-2 K}{3-2 K} \frac{3}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos ^{4} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{2,3}$ | $\frac{9-2 K}{3-2 K} \frac{4}{h_{0}^{6}} \cos ^{6} \theta_{1}$ |
| $\Phi_{3}$ | $1+\frac{1}{4} \Phi_{1,1} a_{t}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \Phi_{1,2} a_{t}^{4}+\frac{5}{64} \Phi_{1,3} a_{t}^{6}$ |
| $\Phi_{4}$ | $1+\frac{3}{4} \Phi_{2,1} a_{t}^{2}+\frac{5}{8} \Phi_{2,2} a_{t}^{4}+\frac{35}{64} \Phi_{2,3} a_{t}^{6}$ |


| $\alpha$ | $\left(K, \mu, a, a_{0}, m, h_{0}\right)^{\text {T }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\delta$ | $\left(\delta_{c}, \delta_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| $\Delta \alpha$ | $\left(\Delta K, \Delta \mu, \Delta a_{t}, \Delta a_{0}, \Delta m, \Delta h_{0}\right)^{\text {T }}$ |
| $\Delta \delta$ | $\left(\Delta \delta_{c}, \Delta \delta_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,1}$ | 0 |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,2}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{5} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 \mu m} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,3}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{5} \Phi_{6} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 m} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,4}$ | $-\Phi_{5} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,5}$ | $-\frac{\Phi_{5} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 m^{2}} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 1,6}$ | $\frac{3 \Phi_{7} a_{t}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{h_{0}^{3}}-\frac{3 \Phi_{5} a_{t} c_{l} t}{2 m h_{0}}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,1}$ | $-\frac{6 \Phi_{7} a_{t}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{(3-2 K)^{2} h_{0}^{2}}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,2}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{8} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 \mu m} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,3}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{6} \Phi_{8} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 m} e^{-\frac{c}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,4}$ | $-\Phi_{8} e^{-\frac{c l}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,5}$ | $-\frac{\Phi_{8} a_{0} c_{l} t}{2 m^{2}} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $g_{\alpha, 2,6}$ | $\frac{3 \Phi_{9} a_{t}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{(3-2 K) h_{0}^{3}}-\frac{3 \Phi_{8} a_{t} c_{l} t}{2 m h_{0}}$ |
| $\Phi_{5}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1,1} a_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1,2} a_{t}^{3}+\frac{15}{32} \Phi_{1,3} a_{t}^{5}$ |
| $\Phi_{6}$ | $\Phi_{6,1}+\Phi_{6,2}+\Phi_{6,3}$ |
| $\Phi_{6,1}$ | $-\frac{2}{A_{b}}(B-2 b+A-2 a)$ |
| $\Phi_{6,2}$ | $-\frac{1}{A_{e}}(B-b+A-a)$ |
| $\Phi_{6,3}$ | $\frac{1}{\bar{B}}\left(\frac{b+A-a}{6 b^{2}}+\frac{a+B-b}{6 a^{2}}\right)$ |
| $\Phi_{7}$ | $1+\frac{5 a_{t}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{2 h_{0}^{2}}+\frac{35 a_{t}^{4} \cos ^{4} \theta}{8 h_{0}^{4}}$ |
| $\Phi_{8}$ | $\frac{3}{2} \Phi_{2,1} a_{t}+\frac{5}{2} \Phi_{2,2} a_{t}^{3}+\frac{105}{32} \Phi_{2,3} a_{t}^{5}$ |
| $\Phi_{9}$ | $\Phi_{9,1}+\Phi_{9,2}+\Phi_{9,3}$ |
| $\Phi_{9,1}$ | $5-2 K$ |
| $\Phi_{9,2}$ | $(7-2 K) \frac{5 \cos ^{2} \theta a_{t}^{2}}{2 h_{0}^{2}}$ |
| $\Phi_{9,3}$ | $(9-2 K) \frac{35 \cos ^{4} \theta a_{t}^{4}}{8 h_{0}^{4}}$ |
| $\Delta \xi$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llllll}\Delta t_{s} & \Delta J & \Delta \gamma & \Delta f_{n} & \Delta K_{a}\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| $\xi_{e}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}t_{s, e} & J_{e} & \gamma_{e} & f_{n, e} & K_{a, e}\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| $\xi_{l}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}t_{s, l} & J_{l} & \gamma_{l} & f_{n, l} & K_{a, l}\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ |


|  |  | Continued Ap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,1}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial K}\right\|_{0}$ | 0 |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,2}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial \mu}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2 \Phi_{3}^{2}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{\mu m} t$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,3}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial a}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{\Phi_{5} \Phi_{6}}{2 \Phi_{3}^{2}} \frac{a_{c} c_{l}}{m} t$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,4}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial a_{0}}\right\|_{0}$ | $-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{\Phi_{3}^{2}} e^{-\frac{c_{1}}{2 m} t}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,5}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial m}\right\|_{0}$ | $-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2 \Phi_{3}^{2}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m^{2}} t$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 1,6}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial h_{0}}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{3}{\Phi_{3}^{2}} \frac{a_{t}}{h_{0}}\left(\Phi_{7} \frac{a_{t}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{c_{l}}{m} t\right)$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,1}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial K}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{6 \Phi_{7}}{(3-2 K)^{2}} \frac{a_{t}^{2} k_{l}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-4 \Phi_{4} \frac{\delta_{3}}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos \theta\right]-4 \frac{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) \frac{\delta_{3}}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos \theta$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,2}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mu}\right\|_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{k_{l}}{\mu}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{4}-\frac{\Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}}{m} t\right) \\ & +\frac{\Phi_{3}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\mu}\left(\Phi_{3}-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}}{m} t\right)-\frac{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) k_{l}+c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,3}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial a}\right\|_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right) \Phi_{4} k_{l}-\frac{\Phi_{6} \Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l} k_{l}}{m} t\right]+\frac{\Phi_{3} \Phi_{6}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}\left(\Phi_{3}-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t\right) \\ & -\frac{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[k_{l}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right)+\Phi_{6} c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,4}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial a_{0}}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\Phi_{8}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)+\Phi_{3} \Phi_{5} c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}\right]$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,5}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial m}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{\Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m^{2}} t-\omega^{2}\right)+\frac{\Phi_{3} \Phi_{5}}{2\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}^{3} \omega^{2}}{m^{2}} t+\frac{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) \omega^{2}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 2,6}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial J}{\partial h_{0}}\right\|_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{k_{l}}{h_{0}} \frac{\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{3 \Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}}{m} t-\frac{3 \Phi_{9}}{3-2 K} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-4 \Phi_{4}\right) \\ & +\frac{3 \Phi_{3}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t-\Phi_{7} \frac{a_{l}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-\Phi_{3}\right)+\frac{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\frac{4 k_{l}}{h_{0}}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)+\frac{3 c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{h_{0}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 3,1}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial K}\right\|_{0}$ | $-\frac{2 \Phi_{3}}{\Phi_{12}} c_{l} \omega\left[\frac{6 \Phi_{7}}{(3-2 K)^{2}} \frac{a_{t}^{2} k_{l}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-4 \Phi_{4} \frac{\delta_{3}}{h_{0}^{4}} \cos \theta\right]-\frac{4}{\Phi_{13}} \frac{\delta_{3} c_{l} \omega \cos \theta}{h_{0}^{4}}\left(180^{\circ}+\arctan \frac{-\Phi_{3} c_{l} \omega}{\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}\right)$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 3,2}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \mu}\right\|_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}} \frac{c_{l} \omega}{\mu}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{3}-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}}{m} t\right) \\ & -\frac{\Phi_{3}}{\Phi_{12}} \frac{k_{l} c_{l} \omega}{\mu}\left(\Phi_{4}-\frac{\Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t\right)+\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}} \frac{m c_{l} \omega^{3}}{\mu}\left(180^{\circ}+\arctan \frac{-\Phi_{3} c_{l} \omega}{\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 3,3}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial a}\right\|_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Phi_{6}}{\Phi_{12}} c_{l} \omega\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{3}-\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{l} c_{l}}{m} t\right)-\frac{\Phi_{3}}{\Phi_{12}} c_{l} \omega\left[\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right) \Phi_{4} k_{l}-\frac{\Phi_{6} \Phi_{8}}{2 m} k_{l} a_{t} c_{l} t\right] \\ & -\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}} c_{l} \omega\left[\Phi_{6}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)-k_{l}\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right)\right]\left(180^{\circ}+\arctan \frac{-\Phi_{3} c_{l} \omega}{\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\chi_{\alpha, 3,4}$ | $\left.\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial a_{0}}\right\|_{0}$ | $\frac{1}{\Phi_{12}} c_{l} \omega e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t}\left[\Phi_{5}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)-\Phi_{3} \Phi_{8} k_{l}\right]$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 3,5} \quad \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial m}\right|_{0} \frac{\Phi_{5}}{2 \Phi_{12}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}^{2} \omega}{m^{2}} t\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)-\frac{\Phi_{3}}{\Phi_{12}} c_{l} \omega\left(\frac{\Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{k_{l} a_{t} c_{l}}{m^{2}} t-\omega^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}} c_{l} \omega^{3}\left(180^{\circ}+\arctan \frac{-\Phi_{3} c_{l} \omega}{\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\Phi_{12}} \frac{3 c_{l} \omega}{h_{0}}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{5} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{2 m} t-\Phi_{7} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-\Phi_{3}\right) \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 3,6} \quad \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial h_{0}}\right|_{0} \quad-\frac{\Phi_{3}}{\Phi_{12}} \frac{k_{l} c_{l} \omega}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{3 \Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t-\frac{3 \Phi_{9}}{3-2 K} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-4 \Phi_{4}\right)-\frac{1}{\Phi_{13}} \frac{c_{l} \omega}{h_{0}}\left(k_{l}+3 m \omega^{2}\right)\left(180^{\circ}+\arctan \frac{-\Phi_{3} c_{l} \omega}{\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}\right) \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,1} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial K}\right|_{0} \quad \frac{3 \Phi_{7}}{\left(\Phi_{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a_{t}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}{(3-2 K)^{2} h_{0}^{2}} \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,2} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial \mu}\right|_{0}-\frac{\Phi_{8}}{4\left(\Phi_{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{\mu m} t \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,3} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial a}\right|_{0}-\frac{\Phi_{6} \Phi_{8}}{4\left(\Phi_{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,4} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial a_{0}}\right|_{0} \frac{\Phi_{8}}{2\left(\Phi_{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} t} \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,5} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial m}\right|_{0} \quad \frac{\Phi_{8}}{4\left(\Phi_{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m^{2}} t \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 4,6} \quad \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial h_{0}}\right|_{0} \frac{3 \Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m h_{0}} t-\frac{3 \Phi_{9}}{3-2 K} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{3}} \cos ^{2} \theta \\
& \chi_{\alpha, 5,1} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial K}\right|_{0}-\frac{4}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{k_{l}-m \omega^{2}}{h_{0}^{4}} \delta_{3} \cos \theta-\frac{2\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) \cos \theta}{h_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{3 \Phi_{7}}{(3-2 K)^{2}} k_{l} a_{t}^{2} \cos \theta-2 \Phi_{4} \frac{\delta_{3}}{h_{0}^{2}}\right] \\
& \chi_{\alpha, 5,2} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial \mu}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{k_{l}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)+c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\mu} \\
& -\frac{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{4} \frac{k_{l}}{\mu}-\Phi_{8} \frac{k_{l} a_{t} c_{l}}{2 \mu m} t\right)+\Phi_{3} \frac{c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{\mu}\left(\Phi_{3}-\Phi_{5} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{2 m} t\right)\right] \\
& \chi_{\alpha, 5,3} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial a}\right|_{0} \quad \frac{1}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right)\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) k_{l}+\Phi_{6}\right. \\
-\frac{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left\{( \Phi _ { 4 } k _ { l } - m \omega ^ { 2 } ) \left[\left(\Phi_{6.2}+\Phi_{6.3}\right) \Phi_{4} k_{l}-\right.\right. \\
\left.\frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial a_{0}}\right|_{0} \quad \frac{-\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\Phi_{4} \Phi_{8} k_{l}+\Phi_{3} \Phi_{5} c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}-\Phi_{8} m \omega^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{c_{l}}{2 m} l}
\end{array} \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 5,5} \quad \frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial m}\right|_{0}-\frac{1}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right) \omega^{2}-\frac{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m^{2}} t-\omega^{2}\right)+\frac{\Phi_{3} \Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}^{3} \omega^{2}}{m^{2}} t\right] \\
& \frac{1}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\frac{4 k_{l}}{h_{0}}\left(k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)+\frac{3 c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{h_{0}}\right] \\
& \left.\chi_{\alpha, 5,6} \quad \frac{\partial K_{a}}{\partial h_{0}}\right|_{0}-\frac{\left(\Phi_{11}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\Phi_{10}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{k_{l}}{h_{0}}\left(\Phi_{4} k_{l}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(\frac{3 \Phi_{8}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t-\frac{3 \Phi_{9}}{(3-2 K)} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-4 \Phi_{4}\right) \\
+3 \Phi_{3} \frac{c_{l}^{2} \omega^{2}}{h_{0}}\left(\frac{\Phi_{5}}{2} \frac{a_{t} c_{l}}{m} t-\Phi_{7} \frac{a_{t}^{2}}{h_{0}^{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta-\Phi_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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